Levels of hexachlorobenzene (HCB) in breast milk in relation to birth weight in a Norwegian cohort
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ABSTRACT

Background: Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) is a ubiquitous environmental contaminant that, even at low doses, causes destruction of ovarian primordial germ cells in experimental studies. However, its potential for reproductive toxicity in humans exposed to background levels has not been fully evaluated. Here we examined the association between maternal levels of HCB and their infants’ birth weight.

Methods: HCB was measured in milk samples from a subset of women in the Norwegian Human Milk Study (HUMIS), 2003–2006; 300 subjects were randomly chosen from the cohort and 26 from all small for gestational age (SGA) children. Additional information was obtained through questionnaires and the Medical Birth Registry.

Results: Overall, HCB was associated with birth weight (adjusted β = −0.9 g per 8 μg/kg milk fat, 95% CI: 275 to 8) and with SGA (odds ratio 1.8, 95% CI 0.9–3.7 per 8 μg/kg milk fat (difference between the 10th and the 90th percentiles)). After stratification, however, the association was present only among smokers. For birth weight for past or current smokers: β = −282, CI: −467 to −98; for never smokers: β = 0.5, CI: −149 to 150, p-value for interaction: 0.01. Similar results were observed for head circumference, crown-heel length, and SGA.

Conclusions: We saw a moderate association between HCB and markers of impaired fetal growth among past and current smokers. This finding may be non-causal and due to underlying genetic variants tied to both birth growth and breakdown of HCB or to confounding by unmeasured toxicants that coexist in exposure sources. It may, however, also result from HCB exposure.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) is a widespread environmental contaminant regulated by the Stockholm convention (http://www.pops.int). Following the ban on its use as a fungicide, environmental levels of HCB have declined by more than 90%. However, HCB is still used as an industrial chemical and it is an unintended by-product from several processes, such as production of chlorinated solvents (Bailey, 2001). Therefore, population exposure to HCB is likely to continue at current levels (Schade and Heinzow, 1998).

The most disturbing report on HCB toxicity dates back to the 1950s, when part of the Turkish population was accidentally exposed to high levels through intake of HCB-treated seeds. Breastfed children of exposed mothers developed the lethal disease “Pembe yara” (“pink sore”; Cam, 1963). After the incident there were reports of villages without children below the age of 5 years (Jarrell and Gocmen, 2000). If these anecdotal reports are
even partly true, HCB would qualify as among the most potent reproductive toxicants identified.

The reproductive toxicity of HCB has been confirmed in experimental studies. Destruction of ovarian primordial germ cells and changes in the surface of the ovarian germinal epithelium was observed in monkeys and rats exposed to HCB (latropoulos et al., 1976; Jarrell et al., 1993; Alvarez et al., 2000). These effects were dose dependent, and seen at low doses (Jarrell et al., 1993).

Adverse reproductive outcomes after exposure to background levels of HCB in human populations have been examined in a number of studies, with inconsistent findings. While some studies have shown a positive association with spontaneous abortion (Jarrell et al., 1998), low birth weight (Schade and Heinzow, 1998), decreased crown-rump length (Ribas-Fito et al., 2002), and reduced gestational length (Fenster et al., 2006), others have reported no association (Gladen et al., 2003; Sugiuira-Ogasawara et al., 2003; Sagiv et al., 2007), or non-linear associations with the reproductive outcome studied (Khanjani and Sim, 2006). The reason for this inconsistency is not clear, but lack of statistical power, residual confounding, and differences in susceptibility between populations may explain some of the variation. Because exposure levels varied greatly between studies it would be of interest to examine whether the inconsistent findings could be due to differential response to varying exposure levels, e.g. threshold effects.

In conclusion, surprisingly few studies have examined HCB effects on reproductive health, and 50 years after the mass exposure in Turkey, its potential toxicity for human populations exposed to background levels has not been fully evaluated.

The aim of this study was to investigate the association between level of exposure to HCB and a number of reproductive outcomes in a Norwegian birth cohort. We aimed to take into consideration a number of methodological issues that may have contributed to the heterogeneity of previous findings by exploring the possibility of threshold effects and effect modification, while controlling for coexisting environmental contaminants.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Study population

We used data from the “Norwegian Human Milk Study” (HUMIS), an ongoing multi-center birth cohort of mothers and their newborns. Recruitment took place in five counties, which represent the northern, southern, western, and eastern parts of Norway (Rogaland, Telemark, Troms, Finnmark, and Oppland). Health visitors routinely see all families in Norway approximately 2 weeks after a birth, parts of Norway (Rogaland, Telemark, Troms, Finnmark, and Oppland). Health visits were recorded for each sample, as well as whether a milk pump had been used. When the container had been filled, participants mailed it by regular post. Concentrations of hexachlorobenzene, beta-hexachlorocyclohexane (B-HCH), 1,1-dichloro-2,2- bis (p-chlorophenyl) ethylene (p,p’-DDE), ten non-dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (ndl-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 28, 52, 74, 99, 101, 138, 153, 170, 180, and 194), and eight dioxin-like mono-ortho PCBs (mo-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 105, 114, 118, 123, 156, 157, 167, and 189) were measured in approximately 15 ml of breast milk at the Norwegian School of Veterinary Science in Oslo. Prior to extraction, the internal standards PCBs 29 and 112 were added. Extraction, lipid clean-up, and determination of organochlorine pesticides and ndl-PCBs were performed on a gas chromatograph-electron capture detector (GC-ECD) and the eight dioxin-like mono-ortho PCBs (mo-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 105, 114, 118, 123, 156, 157, 167, and 189) were determined on a GC coupled to a low-resolution mass spectrometer according to previously established methods (Brevik, 1978; Polder et al., 2008). See online appendix for more details on the chemical analysis. Lower bound values were imputed for non-detected concentrations of chemicals. All samples contained detectable concentrations of HCB.

The association between PCBs and birth weight in the present study will be reported separately.

2.3. Chemical analysis

The absence of contaminants in the containers used for milk sampling was verified before use. Concentrations of hexachlorodibenzene, beta-hexachlorocyclohexane (B-HCH), 1,1-dichloro-2,2- bis (p-chlorophenyl) ethylene (p,p’-DDE), ten non-dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (ndl-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 28, 52, 74, 99, 101, 138, 153, 170, 180, and 194), and eight dioxin-like mono-ortho PCBs (mo-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 105, 114, 118, 123, 156, 157, 167, and 189) were measured in approximately 15 ml of breast milk at the Norwegian School of Veterinary Science in Oslo. Prior to extraction, the internal standards PCBs 29 and 112 were added. Extraction, lipid clean-up, and determination of organochlorine pesticides and ndl-PCBs were performed on a gas chromatograph-electron capture detector (GC-ECD) and the eight dioxin-like mono-ortho PCBs (mo-PCBs; IUPAC nos.: 105, 114, 118, 123, 156, 157, 167, and 189) were determined on a GC coupled to a low-resolution mass spectrometer according to previously established methods (Brevik, 1978; Polder et al., 2008). See online appendix for more details on the chemical analysis. Lower bound values were imputed for non-detected concentrations of chemicals. All samples contained detectable concentrations of HCB.

The association between PCBs and birth weight in the present study will be reported separately.

2.4. Data analysis

We divided subjects into three categories of HCB exposure using cut-off points set 8 μg/kg lipid apart (chosen because it corresponded to the difference between the 10th and the 90th percentiles) and examined the crude and adjusted associations with outcomes across these categories. We also examined HCB as a continuous variable with reexpression so that the units were per μg/kg lipid increment. Linear regression models were used for the analysis of continuous outcomes and were based on the random study sample of 300 subjects. The 26 extra SGA infants were not included in this model because outcome-dependent sampling results in biased estimates in traditional linear regression models. However, we added these infants when examining SGA and intrauterine growth restriction in logistic regression models, as odds ratios (ORs) can be correctly estimated in a case-control design (Agresti, 2002,p.170).
In the linear regression models we included a priori the following covariates: gestational age (days), gestational age squared, maternal age at delivery (years), pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²), and height (cm), all entered as continuous variables, and sex, smoking (past before pregnancy) or current smokers (at the beginning of pregnancy): daily less than 10, daily more than 10 cigarettes), and parity (none, one, and more than one previous child), entered in categories. We also considered as potential confounders the number of days from delivery to start of milk sampling, maternal education as a socioeconomic index (less than 12, 12, 13–16, and greater than 16 years of education), high fatty fish intake past year (2 or more fatty fish dinner meals per week; seven bread meals with fatty fish were considered equal to one dinner meal), being a participant in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (yes, no), Norwegian nationality, county of residence, number of months of exclusive breastfeeding of previous children, β-HCH, p,p′-DDE, sum of all PCB congeners, and sum of six PCBs (nos.: 28, 52, 101, 138, 153, and 180) by evaluating them one by one in models that included the a priori confounders. The results were very similar whether we adjusted for the sum of all PCBs or the sum of six PCBs. To facilitate comparability with other studies, we show estimates adjusted for the sum of six PCBs.

Effect modification of HCB on the outcomes was evaluated for sex and maternal smoking, by using both stratified analysis and cross-product terms in the models.

Missing values of pre-pregnancy BMI ($n = 5$), height ($n = 3$), and child’s age at milk sampling ($n = 11$) were replaced by mean values. We used a separate category for missing values on education ($n = 6$). We show the model including subjects with imputed values, but all final adjusted models were fit with and without imputed values, and the estimates were similar.

We used generalized additive models (GAMs) to explore whether the relation between the outcome and HCB was linear (on the log scale for the binary outcomes; Hastie, 1990). For each outcome, the linear effect of HCB was replaced by a smooth, possibly non-linear function of HCB, followed by a test of deviation from linearity.

In order to evaluate potential confounding by alcohol use during pregnancy, we repeated our analysis for all outcomes, using the final fully adjusted model, in the subsample of women for whom this information was available (never ($n = 149$), less than monthly ($n = 24$), one to four times per month ($n = 11$). No one reported use of alcohol more often than one to four times per month. We also repeated the analyses using only prospective smoking data (MBR), in order to evaluate a potential effect of recall bias on the results.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 14 software and STATA 9, except for the hierarchical clustering and generalized modeling, which was performed using Split 7.0.6.

### 3. Results

To assess to what extent participants may have differed from the general population, we compared the mothers in our study with the general population of mothers who had recently given birth in Norway, based on data from Norwegian MBR (Skjærven et al., 2000) (Table 1). We saw no differences in age, duration of gestation, or child’s birth weight. Participants, however, were less frequently daily smokers or first time mothers. We also examined participants lost to follow-up and observed the same pattern: participants were less frequently daily smokers and less often first time mothers. In addition, child’s birth weight and gestational age were higher among participants compared to infants of mothers lost to follow-up (median birth weight among the latter was 3541 g and gestational age was 280 days). We also obtained data on education level in the general population from the National Statistics Bureau (http://www.ssb.no/english/) and observed that 40% of the general population of women in the age range 25–35 years have more than 12 years education (Statistics Norway, 2009), compared to 68% in the corresponding age range in our study population (age range HUMIS 16–44, 10–90 percentile 24–36 years).

#### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Random cohort, $n = 300$</th>
<th>Sampled SGA, $n = 26$</th>
<th>HUMIS not analyzed, $n = 1165$</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>General population, $n = 126,182$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3705</td>
<td>2787</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (days)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal height (cm)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of child at sampling start (days)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal parity (%)</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First child</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second child</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking (%)</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child sex (%)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (%)</td>
<td>&lt;12 years</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–16 years</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16 years</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality (%)</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Norwegian</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences between Random cohort, sampled cases, and remaining HUMIS participants evaluated through Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables.

* Information on the general population of recent mothers was obtained through the Norwegian Medical Birth Registry (which records all births in Norway). Mothers who had given birth between 2001 and 2003 were selected and their characteristics compared to the HUMIS participants.

* Pre-pregnancy BMI.

* Smoking status at the beginning of pregnancy. One mother participates with two children.
Among the mothers included in this analysis, sampling of milk usually started when the child was about 1 month old (median 33, mean 35, range: 2–88 days). Samples were usually collected on eight separate occasions (median 8, mean 7, range: 1–8), over a period of eight days (median 8, mean 12, range: 1–55 days), and only 26% of subjects had used a milk pump.

### 3.1. Factors associated with HCB level

The median concentration of HCB in the samples from the 300 randomly selected mothers was 11.5 (mean 12.0) μg/kg milk fat, ranging from 4.4 to 42.0. There was an 8 μg/kg difference in the concentration of HCB between the 10th and the 90th percentiles.

In a multiple-linear-regression model, HCB level was associated with older age, non-Norwegian nationality, and lower parity (data not shown). The above factors accounted for 18% of the variation in HCB levels. We saw no differences in HCB level as a function of maternal height, pre-pregnancy BMI, education, smoking, sex, infant age when milk sampling started, or intake of fatty fish.

### 3.2. Associations between HCB and outcomes studied

#### 3.2.1. Gestational age

In the crude analysis, the longest gestational age was observed for the middle category of HCB (Table 2). This pattern was also observed in the adjusted analysis (Table 2). However, when HCB was entered continuously, we saw an inverse association between HCB and gestational age (Table 2). These results were strongly influenced by one subject and, when this subject was removed, there was no association between continuous HCB and gestational age (β = -0.8, 95% CI -4.4 to 2.9). Removing the same outlier also changed the adjusted estimate for the highest exposure category of HCB exposure (OR = 2.5, 95% CI -4.5 to 9.5).

#### 3.2.2. Birth weight, head circumference, crown-heel length, and ponderal index

Adjusted birth weight was lower among newborns exposed to the highest levels of HCB; however the confidence intervals were wide (Table 2).

An adjusted GAM plot demonstrates a clear linear dose-response relationship between HCB and birth weight except in the lower and upper borderline areas of HCB levels, where there were few observations (Fig. 1). The GAM analysis did not reveal any significant deviation from linearity (p-value = 0.22).

We saw no evidence of an association between HCB and head circumference and crown-heel length (data not shown). In contrast, we observed a small inverse association between HCB and ponderal index (Table 2).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (days)</th>
<th>HCB level (μg/kg lipid)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Crude model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
<td>12–19.99</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2.2 (1.8–2.6)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.0–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>-2.0 (-1.6 to -2.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (-0.3 to 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 8-unit increase in HCB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.9 (1.8–4.0)</td>
<td>-2.8 (1.6–4.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Birth weight (g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCB level (μg/kg lipid)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Crude model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12–19.99</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3727</td>
<td>3.2 (2.8–3.6)</td>
<td>-0.1 (0.2 to 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3497</td>
<td>-198 (192 to -203)</td>
<td>-253 (248 to -257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 8-unit increase in HCB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-109 (-118 to -100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ponderal index (g/cm²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCB level (μg/kg lipid)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Crude model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4–11.99</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–19.99</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.08 to 0.20)</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.20 to 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.21 to 0.05)</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.29 to 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 8-unit increase in HCB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05 (-0.10 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model with gestational age as an outcome was adjusted for parity, maternal smoking, age, height, pre-pregnancy BMI, education, county of residence, and the sum of six PCB congeners (CB-28, -52, -101, -138, -153, and -180). All other models were in addition adjusted for gestational age (plus gestational age squared) and infant’s sex. Only 299 subjects were included in the adjusted analysis due to one subject with missing value for smoking status, while missing values were imputed for 11 subjects (4%).
3.2.4. Effect modification of HCB by maternal smoking and child’s sex

Smoking modified the association between HCB and birth weight. Among babies of past or current smokers (smoking status at the beginning of pregnancy), a decrease of $-282\,\text{g}$ (95% CI = $-467$ to $-98$) in birth weight was estimated for an eight-unit increase in HCB, while we saw no association among infants of never smokers (Table 4). Restricting the analysis to only current smokers yielded similar results.

Head circumference, crown–heel length, and ponderal index were also inversely associated with HCB among past or current smokers (Table 4). Similar findings were observed for SGA and intrauterine growth restriction, with stronger associations among past or current smokers, although the confidence interval included the null value (Table 4). No association was observed between HCB and any of the above outcomes among non-smokers (Table 4). The interaction terms for smoking were statistically significant for birth weight, head circumference, and crown–heel-length (Table 4). There was no apparent effect modification by smoking for the association between HCB and gestational age.

We saw no evidence of effect modification by sex on the association of HCB with birth weight ($p = 0.94$), ponderal index ($p = 0.23$), SGA ($p = 0.69$), or gestational age ($p = 0.82$).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis

We repeated the fully adjusted final analysis for all outcomes in the subsample of subjects with available data on alcohol consumption during pregnancy and similar results were obtained when adjusting for alcohol use (e.g., the HCB coefficient for birth weight was $-0.184$ when not adjusted for alcohol consumption vs. $-0.168$ when adjusted).

Furthermore, we repeated our analysis using only prospective smoking data, which yielded similar results for all models. A $p$-value of $p = 0.011$ was obtained for the interaction term between smoking and HCB in the model with birth weight as outcome.

Finally, all the final models were reanalyzed adjusting for number of months of exclusive breastfeeding of previous children, and similar results were obtained for all outcomes.

4. Discussion

In this analysis, we saw an association between HCB and birth weight, both when examined continuously and when using indicators of fetal growth restriction. Our analyses suggested that this association may, however, be restricted to current and past smokers. We saw a similar pattern for the other anthropometric measures. A stronger effect of environmental toxicants on birth weight among smokers has been reported for PCBs (Sagiv et al., 2007; Rylander et al., 1995), but this is the first study to note an effect modification by smoking for HCB. Smoking is a well-known risk factor for low birth weight and, as such, may be important to consider as an effect modifier. Cigarette smoke contains a number of toxins, such as cadmium, cyanide, sulfides, carcinogenic hydrocarbons, benzene, and nicotine, which all may cause direct cellular damage as well as specific biological effects, as recently reported (Jauniaux and Burton, 2007). Still, the specific causal mechanism for the association between smoking and birth weight remains unknown. A mechanism for the modifying effects of smoking, if not a chance finding, is therefore unclear.

The association between HCB and the reproductive outcomes examined was unexpected, considering the relatively low levels of HCB recently observed in human milk in Norway. This points to the possibility of a non-causal association. An underlying genetic
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influence was removed, the relation appeared to be linear. Overall, and gestational age. However, when the single subject with high a suggestion of a non-linear relationship between categorical HCB possibility.

2007). Although there may be threshold effects at higher HCB mechanisms could be activated at higher levels, preventing the negative effects that are seen at lower levels (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003). However, the GAM model did not reveal any protective effects on these outcomes may have been at an earlier time point, such as during puberty. The women in this study would have been exposed to much higher HCB levels in their youth, because levels were much higher in the past (Schade and Heinzow, 1998; Forst, 2006; Skaare, 1981). The current ranking of women for HCB levels may simply reflect how they would have been ranked in the past. A recent study concluded that “a single organochlorine measure provides considerable information on relative ranking at distant times” (Vo et al., 2008), supporting the possibility that the relative ranking of HCB may be stable over time. This hypothetical explanation could, if true, account for the variation in results across studies, since past HCB exposure levels may differ between countries even when recent levels were similar.

Another potential explanation is the possibility of differential effects on outcome depending on exposure dose. Protective mechanisms could be activated at higher levels, preventing the negative effects that are seen at lower levels (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003). However, the GAM model did not reveal any significant threshold effects on birth weight across the range of HCB seen in this study. This is in accordance with the only other study that has looked for a non-linear relationship, which was also performed in a population with low HCB levels (Sagiv et al., 2007). Although there may be threshold effects at higher HCB levels, there are no studies to date to either support or refute this possibility.

The results for gestational age are difficult to interpret. We saw a suggestion of a non-linear relationship between categorical HCB and gestational age. However, when the single subject with high influence was removed, the relation appeared to be linear. Overall, we cannot draw conclusions on the relation between HCB and gestational age in these data, in part due to the imprecision of the estimates.

4.1. Overview of previous findings

The relation between HCB levels and anthropometric measures at birth has been examined in seven previous studies (Schade and Heinzow, 1998; Ribas-Fito et al., 2002; Fenster et al., 2006; Gladen et al., 2003; Sagiv et al., 2007; Khanjani and Sim, 2006; Dewailly et al., 1993). The highest exposure levels were reported in a study from Spain including 70 subjects, with HCB measured in cord blood (Ribas-Fito et al., 2002). No association with birth weight or SGA was observed. However, the authors did note a statistically significant inverse association with crown-rump length, suggesting impaired growth (Ribas-Fito et al., 2002). Among 107 Inuit children with relatively high HCB levels measured in human milk, an association between HCB and reduced length at birth was also reported, but no association was seen for birth weight (Dewailly et al., 1993). In a third study of 197 subjects, still with relatively high levels of HCB measured in milk, no association with birth weight was observed (Gladen et al., 2003). Length was not assessed in this study.

There are two studies with intermediate levels of HCB. HCB measured in human milk in 246 subjects was associated with lower birth weight in a study from Germany (Schade and Heinzow, 1998). In a study of 385 Latino workers in the US (Fenster et al., 2006), in whom HCB was measured in maternal serum at approximately 26 weeks of gestation, a decrease in birth weight of 96 g per log_{10}-transformed units HCB μg/kg lipid was observed in the crude analysis, an estimate comparable to the crude associations in our study of 109 g per 8 units of HCB. However, when adjusting for maternal weight gain during pregnancy and other factors, the association was reduced to −23 g and was no longer significant. This study observed a significant association between HCB and preterm birth.

In a cross-sectional study of 815 Australian mothers, with levels slightly higher than ours, the associations with the outcomes were explored after categorization of HCB exposure into tertiles (Khanjani and Sim, 2006). A twofold increased risk of low birth weight (OR 2.6, CI 0.96–7.3) was observed for those in the two categories which corresponded to the range of HCB in our study. No association between the highest exposure category and low birth weight were seen, which could suggest a threshold effect. However, no association with SGA was noted for any category of HCB exposure. Finally, in a study of 722 healthy newborns from the US, where HCB was measured in cord blood (Sagiv et al., 2007), levels were comparable to our study, although they had a high proportion of non-detects (detection level equal to

---

### Table 4

The association of HCB with studied outcomes according to maternal smoking status at the beginning of pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Past or current</th>
<th>Nonsmoker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight a</td>
<td>−282 (−467 to −98)</td>
<td>0.50 (−149 to 150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference a</td>
<td>−0.58 (−1.11 to −0.05)</td>
<td>0.37 (−0.06 to 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-heel length a</td>
<td>−0.81 (−1.58 to −0.05)</td>
<td>0.33 (−0.29 to 0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderal index a</td>
<td>−0.11 (−0.22 to −0.01)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.13 to 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age a</td>
<td>−1.60 (−6.5 to 3.3)</td>
<td>−2.76 (−6.7 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR 95% CI OR 95% CI p-value interaction

| SGA a               | 2.7 (0.99 to 7.5) | 1.5 (0.6 to 3.3) | 0.28     |
| Growth restriction a| 3.3 (0.85 to 12.5) | 1.4 (0.4 to 5.0) | 0.32     |

The association is shown for an 8-unit increase in HCB levels in human milk (μg/kg milk fat).

Each estimate derives from a separate adjusted model.

a Adjusted for gestational age (plus gestational age squared), infant’s sex, parity, maternal age, height, pre-pregnancy BMI, education, county of residence and the sum of six PCB congeners (CB-28, -52, -101, -138, -153, and -180). Missing values have been imputed for 13 subjects.

b Adjusted for the same variables except gestational age (and gestational age square) and infant’s sex. One subject was excluded due to missing values for smoking status; thus the analysis with SGA and growth restriction was based on 323 subjects, while gestational age was based on 299 subjects. Missing values have been imputed for 11 subjects.
4.2. Limitations and strengths

The main limitation of our study is the retrospective assessment of exposure, although this is generally not raised as a major concern in studies on chlorinated persistent organic pollutants, due to their long half lives (ATSDR, 2002). Postnatal HCB exposure is assumed to represent prenatal exposure, and for most persistent organic pollutants the levels in human milk and cord blood do show a very high correlation. However, our knowledge with regard to HCB specifically is more limited (Sala et al., 2001).

Maternal recall of pregnancy-related events has been shown to have a high degree of validity (Tomeo et al., 1999). We evaluated potential recall bias for smoking, the covariate probably most likely to be affected by recall bias, by reanalyzing all models using prospective smoking data and we observed very similar results. A weakness of our study is the low final response rate. Women with higher education were more likely to participate in the study, which probably also explains the lower proportion of smokers, since smoking is associated with lower socioeconomic status in Norway (Table 1). The results may therefore not be generalizable to the whole population. However, we believe that it is not likely that the validity of the results is affected by selection bias, since that would require differential selection that is related to both HCB and to SGA. SGA status could affect selection to the study, since SGA babies may need a prolonged stay at an intensive care unit and thus miss the visit by the health visitor by whom recruitment took place (although the birth weight is not very different in the study sample compared to the whole population, Table 1). However, we find it unlikely that mothers’ HCB levels, which are unknown to them, would directly be associated with selection to the study.

This study has some important advantages compared with studies that have used serum or cord blood to assess exposure. First, human milk enables sampling of large volumes. We used 15 ml for the contaminant analysis, an amount usually not available from either cord blood or maternal serum. The large volume and the high fat content of human milk allow measurement with greater precision, especially for contaminants that are present in low concentrations. This is important, since the varying fat concentrations in biological samples of either type imply that a sample with a non-detectable value cannot be assumed to have a lower concentration than a sample with a detectable value. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in which milk has been sampled over a prolonged period of time (eight days). This was done in order to reduce the high within-subject variability that has been demonstrated for these contaminants in lactating women (Skaare and Polder, 1990).

Another advantage of the present study was the availability of data on coexisting environmental contaminants. In all the adjusted models, the estimates were higher after inclusion of selected environmental contaminants, and the stepwise approach revealed that this effect was primarily tied to including of PCBs into the model. Since environmental contaminants coexist in exposure sources and may have additive or antagonistic effects, this finding points to the importance of studying a broad array of contaminants that coexist in a specific population. Most studies on HCB have not adjusted for PCBs. Still, adjustment for other contaminants can be achieved only partly, and we cannot exclude the possibility of confounding by other as yet unmeasured persistent organic pollutants that are strongly correlated with HCB exposure in Norway.

In conclusion, we observed an association between HCB levels measured in maternal milk and size at birth, which was, however, restricted to past or current smokers. This association may be non-causal, or may reflect past exposure. Compromised fetal growth has been associated with increased risk of a number of diseases later in life, and understanding what mechanisms lay behind this association has important public health implications (Barker et al., 2002). The observed effect modification by smoking is intriguing and, if confirmed, may increase our understanding of the mechanisms of action of these contaminants.
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